Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/811,896	LEE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rudy Zervigon	1763
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Rudy Zervigon</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Greg Harper</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 May 2007	Time: <u>4:30</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: All pending		
Claims discussed: All pending		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Mr. Harper on the 23 rd to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mr. Harper returned the call on the 24 th confirming Applicant's intention to abandon proceedings.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
•		
	$\Delta \Delta$	
	Who has	5/25/7
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature - if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 20070525